

# An Elementary Proof of Blundon's Inequality

GABRIEL DOSPINESCU, MIRCEA LASCU, COSMIN POHOAȚĂ AND MARIAN TETIVA

## Abstract

In this note, we give an elementary proof of Blundon's Inequality. We make use of a simple auxiliary result, provable by only using the Arithmetic Mean - Geometric Mean Inequality.

For a given triangle  $ABC$  we shall consider that  $A, B, C$  denote the magnitudes of its angles, and  $a, b, c$  denote the lengths of its corresponding sides. Let  $R, r$  and  $s$  be the circumradius, the inradius and the semi-perimeter of the triangle, respectively. In addition, we will occasionally make use of the symbols  $\sum$  (cyclic sum) and  $\prod$  (cyclic product), such as

$$\sum f(a) = f(a) + f(b) + f(c), \quad \prod f(a) = f(a)f(b)f(c).$$

In the AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL MONTHLY, W. J. Blundon [1] asked for the proof of the inequality

$$s \leq 2R + (3\sqrt{3} - 4)r$$

which holds in any triangle  $ABC$ . The solution given by the editors is in fact just a comment made by A. Makowski [3], who sends the reader to [2], where Blundon originally published this inequality, and where he actually proves more, namely that this is the best such inequality in the following sense: if, for the numbers  $k$  and  $h$  the inequality

$$s \leq kR + hr$$

is valid in any triangle, with the equality occurring when the triangle is equilateral, then

$$2R + (3\sqrt{3} - 4)r \leq kR + hr.$$

In this note we give a new proof of Blundon's inequality by making use of the following preliminary result:

**Lemma 1.** *Any positive real numbers  $x, y, z$  such that*

$$x + y + z = xyz$$

*satisfy the inequality*

$$(x - 1)(y - 1)(z - 1) \leq 6\sqrt{3} - 10.$$

*Proof.* Since the numbers are positive, from the given condition it follows immediately that  $x < xyz \Leftrightarrow yz > 1$ , and similarly  $xz > 1$  and  $yz > 1$ , which shows that it is not possible for two of the numbers to be less than or equal to 1 (neither can all the numbers be less than 1). Because if a number is less than 1 and two are greater than 1 the inequality is obviously true (the product from the left-hand side being negative), we still have to consider the case when  $x > 1, y > 1, z > 1$ . Then the numbers  $u = x - 1, v = y - 1$  and  $w = z - 1$  are positive and, replacing  $x = u + 1, y = v + 1, z = w + 1$  in the condition from the hypothesis, one gets

$$uvw + uv + uw + vw = 2.$$

By the Arithmetic Mean - Geometric Mean inequality

$$uvw + 3\sqrt[3]{u^2v^2w^2} \leq uvw + uv + uw + vw = 2,$$

and hence for  $t = \sqrt[3]{uvw}$  we have

$$t^3 + 3t^2 - 2 \leq 0 \Leftrightarrow (t + 1)(t + 1 + \sqrt{3})(t + 1 - \sqrt{3}) \leq 0.$$

We conclude that  $t \leq \sqrt{3} - 1$  and thus,

$$(x - 1)(y - 1)(z - 1) \leq 6\sqrt{3} - 10.$$

The equality occurs when  $x = y = z = \sqrt{3}$ . This proves Lemma 1. □

We now proceed to prove Blundon's Inequality.

**Theorem 2.** *In any triangle ABC, we have that*

$$s \leq 2R + (3\sqrt{3} - 4)r.$$

*The equality occurs if and only if ABC is equilateral.*

*Proof.* According to the well-known formulae

$$\cot \frac{A}{2} = \sqrt{\frac{s(s-a)}{(s-b)(s-c)}}, \quad \cot \frac{B}{2} = \sqrt{\frac{s(s-b)}{(s-c)(s-a)}}, \quad \cot \frac{C}{2} = \sqrt{\frac{s(s-c)}{(s-a)(s-b)}},$$

we deduce that

$$\sum \cot \frac{A}{2} = \prod \cot \frac{A}{2} = \frac{s}{r},$$

and

$$\sum \cot \frac{A}{2} \cot \frac{B}{2} = \sum \frac{s}{s-a} = \frac{4R+r}{r}.$$

In this case, by applying Lemma 1 to the positive numbers  $x = \cot \frac{A}{2}, y = \cot \frac{B}{2}$  and  $z = \cot \frac{C}{2}$ , it follows that

$$\left(\cot \frac{A}{2} - 1\right)\left(\cot \frac{B}{2} - 1\right)\left(\cot \frac{C}{2} - 1\right) \leq 6\sqrt{3} - 10,$$

and therefore

$$2 \prod \cot \frac{A}{2} - \left( \sum \cot \frac{A}{2} \cot \frac{B}{2} \right) \leq 6\sqrt{3} - 9.$$

This rewrites as

$$\frac{2s}{r} - \frac{4R+r}{r} \leq 6\sqrt{3} - 9,$$

and thus

$$s \leq 2R + (3\sqrt{3} - 4)r.$$

The equality occurs if and only if  $\cot \frac{A}{2} = \cot \frac{B}{2} = \cot \frac{C}{2}$ , i.e. when the triangle  $ABC$  is equilateral. This completes the proof of Blundon's Inequality.  $\square$

## References

- [1] W. J. BLUNDON, Problem E1935, *The Amer. Math. Monthly*, **73**(1966), 1122.
- [2] W. J. BLUNDON, Inequalities associated with the triangle, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, **8**(1965), 615-626.
- [3] A. MAKOWSKI, Solution of the Problem E1935, *The Amer. Math. Monthly*, **75**(1968), 404.

Gabriel Dospinescu: École Normale Supérieure, Paris, France.

*E-mail address:* gdospi2002@yahoo.com

Mircea Lascu: Editor-in-chief, GIL Publishing House, Zalău, Romania.

*E-mail address:* gil1993@zalau.astral.ro

Cosmin Pohoata: 13 Pridvorului Street, Bucharest 010014, Romania.

*E-mail address:* pohoata\_cosmin2000@yahoo.com

Marian Tetiva: "Gheorghe Roșca Codreanu" High-School, Bârlad 731183, Romania.

*E-mail address:* rianamro@yahoo.com